

EXHIBIT B



Ahdoot & Wolfson, PC (“AW”) is a nationally recognized law firm founded in 1998 that specializes in class action litigation, with a focus on unfair and anticompetitive business practices, data cases, consumer fraud, employee rights, defective products, antitrust, civil rights, and taxpayer rights and unfair practices by municipalities. The attorneys at AW are experienced litigators who have often been appointed by state and federal courts as lead class counsel, including in multidistrict litigation. In over two decades of its successful existence, AW has vindicated the rights of millions of class members in protracted, complex litigation, conferring billions of dollars to the victims, and affecting real change in corporate behavior.

Results

AW has achieved excellent results as lead counsel in numerous complex class actions.

As co-lead counsel in the *Zoom Video Communications, Inc. Privacy Litigation*, No. 5:20-cv-02155 (N.D. Cal.) (Hon. Laurel Beeler), a nationwide class action alleging privacy violations from the collection of personal information through third-party software development kits and failure to provide end to end encryption, AW achieved an \$85 million nationwide class settlement that also included robust injunctive relief overhauling Zoom’s data collection and security practices.

In *Eck v. City of Los Angeles*, No. BC577028 (Cal. Super. Ct.) (Hon. Ann I. Jones), AW achieved a \$295 million class settlement in a case alleging that an 8% surcharge on Los Angeles electricity rates was an illegal tax. Final settlement approval was affirmed on appeal in October 2019.

In *Alvarez v. Sirius XM Radio Inc.*, No. 2:18-cv-08605-JVS-SS (C.D. Cal.) (Hon. James V. Selna), a breach of contract class action alleging that defendant did not honor its lifetime subscriptions, AW achieved a nationwide class action settlement conservatively valued at approximately \$420 million. The settlement extended the promised lifetime subscription for the lifetime of class members who have active accounts and provided the opportunity for class members with closed accounts to reactivate their accounts and enjoy a true lifetime subscription or recover \$100. The district court had granted the motion to compel arbitration on an individual basis, and AW appealed. AW reached the final deal points of the nationwide class action settlement minutes prior to oral argument in the Ninth Circuit.

As a member of the Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee in the *Apple Inc. Device Performance Litigation*, No. 5:18-md-2827-EJD (N.D. Cal.) (Hon. Edward J. Davila), AW helped achieve a nationwide

settlement of \$310 million minimum and \$500 million maximum. The case arose from Apple's alleged practice of deploying software updates to iPhones that deliberately degraded the devices' performance and battery life.

As co-lead counsel in the *Experian Data Breach Litigation*, No. 8:15-cv-01592-AG-DFM (C D. Cal.) (Hon. Andrew J. Guilford), which affected nearly 15 million class members, AW achieved a settlement conservatively valued at over \$150 million. Experian also provided robust injunctive relief. Judge Guilford praised counsel's efforts and efficiency in achieving the settlement, commenting "You folks have truly done a great job, both sides. I commend you."

In *Kirby v. McAfee, Inc.*, No. 5:14-cv-02475-EJD (N.D. Cal.) (Hon. Edward J. Davila), a case arising from McAfee's auto renewal and discount practices, AW and co-counsel achieved a settlement that made \$80 million available to the class and required McAfee to notify customers regarding auto-renewals at an undiscounted subscription price and change its policy regarding the past pricing it lists as a reference to any current discount.

In *Lavinsky v. City of Los Angeles*, No. BC542245 (Cal. Super. Ct.) (Hon. Ann I. Jones), a class action alleging the city unlawfully overcharged residents for utility taxes, AW certified the plaintiff class in litigation and then achieved a \$51 million class settlement.

As co-lead counsel in *Berman v. General Motors, LLC*, No. 2:18-cv-14371-RLR (S D. Fla.) (Hon. Robin L. Rosenberg) (vehicle oil consumption defect class action), AW achieved a \$40 million settlement.

Recent Antitrust Cases

In the *Dental Supplies Antitrust Litigation*, No. 1:16-cv-00696-BMC-GRB (E.D.N.Y.) (Hon. Brian M. Cogan), a class action alleging an anticompetitive conspiracy among three dominant dental supply companies in the United States, AW served on the plaintiffs' counsel team that brought in an \$80 million cash settlement for the benefit of a class of approximately 200,000 dental practitioners, clinics, and laboratories.

In *Klein v. Meta Platforms, Inc.*, No. 3:20-cv-08570-JD (N.D. Cal.) (Hon. James Donato), Ms. Wolfson and AW are serving on the Executive Committee for the digital advertiser plaintiff class in a class action alleging that Meta (formerly Facebook) engaged in anticompetitive conduct to stifle and/or acquire competition to inflate the cost of digital advertising on its social media platform. Many of the plaintiffs' claims recently survived a motion to dismiss and are in the process of amending their complaint.

In *Robinson v. Jackson Hewitt, Inc.*, No. 2:19-cv-09066-JXN-ESK (D.N.J.) (Hon. Julien Xavier Neals), a class action alleging that a standardized "no-poach" agreement among Jackson Hewitt and its franchisees limited mobility and compensation prospects for the tax preparer employees, AW is asserting claims on behalf of consumers under both federal antitrust and California employment laws.

Current Noteworthy Leadership Roles

AW was selected to serve as interim co-lead class counsel in the *StubHub Refund Litigation*, No. 4:20-md-02951-HSG (N.D. Cal.) (Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.). This consolidated multidistrict litigation alleges that StubHub retroactively changed its policies for refunds for cancelled or rescheduled events as a result of the Covid-19 pandemic and refused to offer refunds despite promising consumers 100% of their money back if events are cancelled. In appointing Ms. Wolfson as Interim Co-Lead Counsel, Judge Gilliam noted that while competing counsel were qualified, her team “proposed a cogent legal strategy,” “a process for ensuring that counsel work and bill efficiently” and “demonstrated careful attention to creating a diverse team.”

Ms. Wolfson was appointed, after competing applications, to serve as interim co-lead class counsel in the *Ring LLC Privacy Litigation*, No. 2:19-cv-10899-MWF-RAO (C.D. Cal.) (Hon. Michael W. Fitzgerald), a consolidated class action arising from Ring’s failure to implement necessary measures to secure the privacy of Ring user accounts and home-security devices, and failure to protect its customers from hackers despite being on notice of the inadequacies of its cybersecurity.

In *Clark v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc.*, No. 2:20-cv-03147-AB-MRW (C.D. Cal.) (Hon. André Birotte Jr.), Ms. Wolfson serves as co-lead counsel in a class action arising from unintended and uncontrolled deceleration in certain Acura vehicles. In selecting Ms. Wolfson from competing applications, Judge Birotte noted: “The Court believes that Ms. Wolfson brings particular attention to the virtues of collaboration, efficiency, and cost-containment which strike the Court as especially necessary in a case such as this. Ms. Wolfson’s appointment as Co-Lead also brings diversity to the ranks of attorneys appointed to such positions: such diversity is not simply a ‘plus factor’ but the Court firmly believes that diverse perspectives improve decision-making and leadership.”

In the *Kind LLC “Healthy And All Natural” Litigation*, No. 1:15-md-02645-NRB (S.D.N.Y.) (Hon. Naomi Reice Buchwald), AW was selected as interim co-lead class counsel after competing applications. AW certified three separate classes of New York, California, and Florida consumers who purchased Kind LLC’s products in a false labeling food MDL.

AW was appointed to serve as co-lead interim class counsel in the *Google Location History Litigation*, No. 5:18-cv-05062-EJD (N.D. Cal.) (Hon. Edward J. Davila), a consumer class action arising out of Google’s allegedly unlawful collection and use of mobile device location information on all Android and iPhone devices.

AW serves on the Plaintiffs’ Executive Committees in *Allergan Biocell Textured Breast Implant Products Liability Litigation*, No. 2:19-md-02921-BRM-JAD (D.N.J.) (Hon. Brian R. Martinotti), a class action alleging textured breast implants caused a rare type of lymphoma and in *ZF-TRW Airbag Control Units Products Liability Litigation*, No. 2:19-ml-02905-JAK-FFM (C.D. Cal.) (Hon. John A. Kronstadt), a class action alleging a dangerous defect in car airbag component units.

As part of the leadership team in *Novoa v. The Geo Group, Inc.*, No. 5:17-cv-02514-JGB-SHK (C.D. Cal.) (Hon. Jesus G. Bernal), AW certified a class of immigration detainees challenging private prison's alleged forced labor practices.

Data Class Actions

AW has been prosecuting cutting edge data cases on behalf of consumers since the late 1990s. AW was among the first group of attorneys who successfully advocated for the privacy rights of millions of consumers against major financial institutions based on the unlawful compilation and sale of detailed personal financial data to third-party telemarketers without the consumers' consent. While such practices later became the subject of Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act regulation, at the time AW was prosecuting these cases before the Hon. Richard R. Kramer, (Ret.) in the complex department of San Francisco Superior Court, such practices were novel and hidden from public scrutiny. AW's work shed light on how corporations and institutions collect, store, and monetize mass data, leading to governmental regulation. AW has been at the forefront of data-related litigation since then.

As an invaluable member of a five-firm Plaintiffs' Steering Committee ("PSC") in the *Premiera Blue Cross Customer Data Sec. Breach Litigation*, No. 3:15-cv-02633-SI (D. Or.) (Hon. Michael H. Simon), arising from a data breach disclosing the sensitive personal and medical information of 11 million Premiera Blue Cross members, AW was instrumental in litigating the case through class certification and achieving a nationwide class settlement valued at \$74 million.

In *The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Sec. Breach Litigation*, No. 1:14-md-02583-TWT (N D. Ga.) (Hon. Thomas W. Thrash Jr.), AW served on the consumer PSC and was instrumental in achieving a \$29 million settlement fund and robust injunctive relief for the consumer class.

AW also currently serves on the PSC in *Am. Med. Collection Agency, Inc., Customer Data Sec. Breach Litigation*, No. 2:19-md-2904-MCA-MAH (D.N.J.) (Hon. Madeline Cox Arleo), a class action arising out of a medical data breach that disclosed the personal and financial information of over 20 million patients, as well as many other data breach class actions.

AW's efforts have shaped data privacy law precedent. As lead counsel in *Remijas v. Neiman Marcus Group, LLC*, No. 14-cv-1735 (N D. Ill.) (Hon. Sharon Johnson Coleman), AW's attorneys successfully appealed the trial court's order granting a motion to dismiss based on lack of Article III standing. The Seventh Circuit's groundbreaking opinion, now cited in every standing brief, was the first appellate decision to consider the issue of Article III standing in data breach cases in light of the Supreme Court's decision in *Clapper v. Amnesty International USA*, 568 U.S. 398 (2013) and concluded that data breach victims have standing to pursue claims based on the increased risk of identity theft and fraud, even before that theft or fraud materializes in out-of-pocket damages. *Remijas v. Neiman Marcus Group, LLC*, 794 F.3d 688 (7th Cir. 2015) (reversed and remanded).

Similarly, in the *U.S. Office of Personnel Management Data Security Breach Litigation*, No. 1:15-mc-1394-ABJ (D D.C.) (Hon. Amy Berman Jackson), AW, as a member of the PSC, briefed and argued,

in part, the granted motions to dismiss based on standing, and briefed in part the successful appeal to the D.C. Circuit.

AW is also serving as plaintiffs' counsel in consumer privacy rights cases involving the right to control the collection and use of biometric information, successfully opposing dispositive motions based on Article III standing. *See, e.g., Rivera v. Google LLC*, No. 19-CH-00990 (Ill. Cir. Ct.) (Hon. Anna M. Loftus); *Miracle-Pond v. Shutterfly, Inc.*, No. 19-CH-07050 (Ill. Cir. Ct.) (Hon. Raymond W. Mitchell); *Acaley v. Vimeo, Inc.*, No. 1:19-cv-7164 (N.D. Ill.) (Hon. Matthew F. Kennelly).

Attorney Profiles

Tina Wolfson graduated Harvard Law School *cum laude* in 1994. Ms. Wolfson began her civil litigation career at the Los Angeles office of Morrison & Foerster, LLP, where she defended major corporations in complex actions and represented indigent individuals in immigration and deportation trials as part of the firm's *pro bono* practice. She then gained further invaluable litigation and trial experience at a boutique firm, focusing on representing plaintiffs on a contingency basis in civil rights and employee rights cases. Since co-founding AW in 1998, Ms. Wolfson has led numerous class actions to successful results. Ms. Wolfson is a member of the California, New York and District of Columbia Bars.

Recognized for her deep class action experience, Ms. Wolfson frequently lectures on numerous class action topics across the country. She is a guest lecturer on class actions at the University of California at Irvine Law School. Her recent notable speaking engagements include:

- Class Action Mastery Forum at the University Of San Diego School of Law (Consumer Class Actions Roundtable) March 2020, featuring Hon. Lucy H. Koh, Hon. Edward M. Chen, and Hon. Fernando M. Olguin.
- Class Action Mastery Forum at the University Of San Diego School of Law (Data Breach/Privacy Class Action Panel) January 16, 2019.
- Association of Business Trial Lawyers: "Navigating Class Action Settlement Negotiations and Court Approval: A Discussion with the Experts," Los Angeles May 2017, featuring Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez and Hon. Jay C. Gandhi.
- CalBar Privacy Panel: "Privacy Law Symposium: Insider Views on Emerging Trends in Privacy Law Litigation and Enforcement Actions in California," Los Angeles Mar. 2017 (Moderator), featuring Hon. Kim Dunning.
- American Conference Institute: "2nd Cross-Industry and Interdisciplinary Summit on Defending and Managing Complex Class Actions," April 2016, New York: Class Action Mock Settlement Exercise featuring the Hon. Anthony J. Mohr.
- Federal Bar Association: N.D. Cal. Chapter "2016 Class Action Symposium," San Francisco Dec. 2016 (Co-Chair), featuring Hon. Joseph F. Anderson, Jr. and Hon. Susan Y. Illston.

- Federal Bar Association: “The Future of Class Actions: Cutting Edge Topics in Class Action Litigation,” San Francisco Nov. 2015 (Co-Chair & Faculty), featuring Hon. Jon S. Tigar and Hon. Laurel Beeler.

Ms. Wolfson currently serves as a Ninth Circuit Lawyer Representative for the Central District of California, as Vice President of the Federal Litigation Section of the Federal Bar Association, as a member of the American Business Trial Lawyer Association, as a participant at the Duke Law School Conferences and the Institute for the Advancement of the American Legal System, and on the Board of Public Justice.

Theodore W. Maya graduated from UCLA Law School in 2002 after serving as Editor-in-Chief of the UCLA Law Review. From July 2003 to August 2004, Mr. Maya served as Law Clerk to the Honorable Gary Allen Feess in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. Mr. Maya was also a litigation associate in the Los Angeles offices of Kaye Scholer LLP for approximately eight years where he worked on a large variety of complex commercial litigation from inception through trial. Mr. Maya was named “Advocate of the Year” for 2007 by the Consumer Law Project of Public Counsel for successful pro bono representation of a victim of a large-scale equity fraud ring. As a partner at AW, Mr. Maya has extensive experience litigating all aspects of complex and consumer class actions and has successfully taken cases through trial and appeal.

Bradley K. King is a member of the State Bars of California, New Jersey, New York, and the District of Columbia. He graduated from Pepperdine University School of Law in 2010, where he served as Associate Editor of the Pepperdine Law Review. He worked as a law clerk for the California Office of the Attorney General, Correctional Law Section in Los Angeles and was a certified law clerk for the Ventura County District Attorney’s Office. Mr. King began his legal career at a boutique civil rights law firm, gaining litigation experience in a wide variety of practice areas, including employment law, police misconduct, municipal contracts, criminal defense, and premises liability cases. During his career at AW, Mr. King has focused on consumer class actions, with experience in privacy, product liability, and antitrust class actions. He has served as appointed interim lead counsel and has extensive experience litigating consolidated and MDL class actions with AW, including numerous large data breach cases that have resulted in nationwide class settlements.